I'm not a robot



JavaScript is disabled in your browser. Please enable JavaScript to proceed. You can't perform that action at this time. \$define1Generating Comments As described in Section State Machines (HSMs) \tau\$ (UML Statecharts). From the code engineering point of view, state machines are the most "constructive" element of the UML and the support of state machine code generation is the most valuable aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation strategies and coding aspects for hierarchical state machine implementation of code generation As described in Section State Machine Base Classes, QM supports two state machine implementation strategies, depending on the selecting the superclass (base class) in the Class Property Sheet, the constructor of the applicationlevel state machine must call the appropriate base class constructor. For example, a state machine Constructor in C The Section Class Constructors in C describes how to model class constructors in C. has been described in the B State Machine Constructor in C++ Accessing Event Parameters \$define1Generating Comments StateSmith is a cross platform, free/open source tool for generating state machines in multiple programming languages. The generated code is human readable, has zero dependencies and is suitable for use with tiny bare metal microcontrollers, video games, apps, web, computers... It avoids dynamic memory allocations for the safety or performance inclined. The above is my current plan, but I'll gladly help anyone add a new language. I'm hoping contributors will help me with this effort. It is tricky though... The fundamentals-1 webpage has simple interactive examples that let you explore most StateSmith features. Want to jump right in and just try it!? The below tutorials use new StateSmith features that are more user friendly. They use different diagram tools, but mirror each other fairly closely otherwise. If you are new to state machines, then prepare to level up your toolbox! They are incredibly helpful for certain applications. Why StateSmith? I couldn't find a quality state machine code generator that met my needs, had an attractive license, and was enjoyable to use. Before I created StateSmith, it was always a pain trying to manually synchronize a hand written state machine with a drawing. Urgent client requests come in and you update the code, but do you and your team always remember to update the drawing? Probably not and so the rot begins. Documentation trust issues arise and as designs get larger, the effort to ensure the diagram is accurate starts to become quite punishing. Now that we use StateSmith at my work, I never have to worry about the above. I love generating fully working code from the documentation projects at my work. It's been super helpful. Other companies are using StateSmith in production as well (consumer electronics, autonomous vehicles, ...). StateSmith has a strong suite of tests (730+) and behavior specification coverage. The specification integration tests read a diagram file, generate executable state machine code, then compile and execute that code in another process and ensure that the state machine behavior is exactly what was expected. The same suite of integration tests run for each supported programming language. This strong test base gives me confidence. It also allows us to refactor and optimize StateSmith without fear of accidentally breaking specified behavior. The StateSmith GitHub wiki has a good amount of documentation right now, but always feel free to ask a question. YouTube channel: statesmith Join us on discord. Feel free to open a github issue. Or you can use the project's discussion space. Code generation tool written in Python for C++ hierarchical state machines. The basic idea is to design your state machine graphically in PlantUml and then use the PlantUml input file also as an input file for FloHsm.py to generate C++ code. PlantUml is simply written as State 1 --> State 2: comments Here, 'comment' is a free format string that is printed along with the transition arrow in the state diagram. This is fine for a diagram, but in a concrete state machine implementation a state transition must be triggered by an event and it may or may not be performed. FloHsm has solved this problem by defining additional state machine language elements that are ignored by PlantUml, but shown in the diagram as plain text. Here are some examples of valid FloHsm transitions. Basically everything before the semicolon is standard PlantUml syntax, everything after the semicolon is FloHsm syntax. Transition triggered by event E1 Transition triggered by event E1, but only if boolean guard expression G1 evaluates to true Transition with action A1, with and without guard S1 --> S2 : E1 [G1] / A1 python FloHsm.py statemachine.txt See Source/Generated/TestCompositeState for an example. Open the .puml file in plantuml and have a look at the test for using the generated code in C++ For now, FloHsm does not parse the @startuml and @enduml keywords that are required by PlantUml. The solution is to write the state machine description in a text file sm.txt. This file is used for FloHsm. A second file sm.puml only contains the following lines and is used to render the diagram in PlantUml @startuml !include sm.txt @enduml After running FloHsm.py, most of your state machine code is generated, but there are two things that the tool cannot generate. The implementation of the actions and the guards. You need to write them yourself in your state machine class. They are however present in as pure virtual functions in the state machine base class from which your state machine before use. In short Derive your state machine base (the actions and guards) Make sure to call InitStateMachine() from your state machine class before using it, e.g. from the constructor or an init method (inherited from StateMachineBase, you don't need to do anything here) on your state machine will now cause state transitions, execution of actions, etc... There are a couple of tests that demonstrate most of the FloHsm capabilities. Please find them in Source/Generated/Test*. There is a .puml file for viewing in PlantUml and a .txt file that is used for FloHsm. Generate the state machine files and run the tests should be easy to compile on other platforms and compilers, but development and testing was only done on Windows with Visual Studio More documentation and implementation from ON2 to ON_HOT has a guard condition [count >= 3]. This transition will only be taken on the INCREASE event if count >= 3. Transition guards can be any code that evaluate to a boolean result. In this example, the guard tests a state machine variable, but it could call a function, a bunch of functions, do some math... Another interesting thing in this example is that we specify the order of the ON2 behaviors for the INCREASE event. We want to run count++ before testing if we should transition with [count >= 3]. You can read more about state behaviors here. Auto clear diagram highlights. State machine variables count: 0 Sinelabore enables developers to effectively combine event-driven architecture, hierarchical state machines, model-based design and automatic code generation A payback is usually given already immediately. SinelaboreRT focus is on generation of readable and maintainable code from flat or hierarchical UML state machine diagrams. With its unique features the tool covers perfectly the requirements of embedded real-time and low-power application developers coding in C / CPP. The generated code is independent of CPU and operating system. Many systems are likely candidates for implementation as finite state machine. Typical examples are control-logic-oriented applications such as metering, monitoring, workflows and control applications. For IoT applications where parts of the applications. For IoT applications where parts of the applications where parts of th macOS or from within a container. By generating code that can be compiled with virtually any compiler, and the ability to integrate with your existing IDE, build process or continuous integrated into any project. Configuration is stored in a plain text file which allows customisation of generated code to exactly your needs. Generated code has production-quality. It is based on nested switch/case and if/then/else statements. It is easy to read, understand and debug if needed. The generated code requires no compiler specific tricks except standard language features. This means that if the worst comes to the worst, you can easily change or expand the code by hand. Can be used with any 8-, 16- or 32-bit CPUs. There is no run-time environment needed like with some other solutions. Fits well in different system designs. The code generator does not dictate how you design your system. (VxWorks, FreeRTOS, embOS, RTEMS, ...) or within an interrupt service routine or in a foreground / background (super loop) system. There will be no problems when using static code analyzers. Generated cpp code passes clang-tidy and is cpp11 ready (modernize-*). Set configuration parameters accordingly. Avoid bugs that can waste countless hours of developer and end-user time before they are found. Developers spend a lot of their time coding state machine design document. No gap between design and code anymore. The documentation is always up to date. An integrated state diagram editor makes it easy to get started and allows you to create state diagrams within minutes. The entry barrier is significantly lower compared to full-fledged UML tools. A series of tutorials (see sidebar) explains step by step how to use the integrated diagram tool. Use the code generator only for those parts of your software that benefit from state machine modeling and code generation. Use your existing development environment for all the other code. The code-generator does not dictate an "all or nothing" approach as many other commercial tools. Automatic robustness tests, test-case generation, tracing and simulation Extensive Manual with getting started section The code generator runs locally on your developer workstations, build servers or continuous integration servers. It does not use an internet connection and will never collect nor submit data, code, statistics, analytics, or any other information from your system over any channel. To get an impression of the powerful capabilities of the tool download the demo version. Checkout the examples folder to see the generated code. Follow the "Getting Started" pages on this website. The manual contains a basic introduction into state-machines in case you need a refresh. Read the sections related to your UML tool and the language backend you want to use. If no UML tool is already in place take a look at the built in state machine diagram editor. To run the examples for all supported modelling tools and various languages (C, CPP, ...). The examples realizes a microwave oven and can be executed and tested. Play with the model and enhance it. Regenerate the code and learn from the warning and error messages. Run examples on a Micro-Controller e.g. a MSP430 evaluation board using Energia. An example with all details is available on github. Sinelabore Code Generator is used worldwide by companies of all sizes, from well-known multinational organizations to smaller independent companies and consultants. The code generator is also used in a wide range of industries. "Sinelabore has helped me implement the behavior of a complex, asynchronous system. All the UML 2 elements I needed are available. I like that I don't have to draw the state machine, then separately implement it and keep these two synchronized; this saves me time and reduces the potential of bugs. The error checking to make sure the state machine is valid is also useful. — Daniel Bedrenko / Software Developer @ BPS...tec GmbH" "Thank you again for providing such great tool!" "... wir nutzen Ihr Produkt schon seit vielen Jahren und es hat sich als zuverlässiges und wertvolles Werkzeug erwiesen ..." "We like Your Tool, infact we will give intro for another local company next week." Study done by "Laboratory of Model Driven Engineering for Embedded Systems @ CEA in France" with the title "Complete Code Generation from UML State Machine" write in their report " ... without optimization, Sinelabore generates the smallest executable size ,,,". Reactive systems are characterized by a continuous interaction with their environment and — usually within quite a short delay — react on these inputs. Reactive systems can be very well described with the help of state machines. State machines allow to develop an application in an iterative way. States in the application and to discuss it with colleagues from other departments (and domains). Details can be added step by step during the development. Even during creation, the Code Generator can check the state machine behaves as intended. real-time behavior are particularly important. There are different ways how to integrate state machines in a specific system design. Some design principles are more applicable for developers having not so tight resource constraints. The SinelaboreRT code generator supports you in the creation of the state based control logic. Generated code fits well in different system designs. The code generator does not dictate how you design your system or within an interrupt service routine or in a foreground / background system. In this design an endless loop — typically the main function — calls one or more state machines after each other. It is still one of the most common ways of designing small embedded systems. The event information processed from the state machines might come from global or local variables fed from other code or IRO handlers. The benefits of this design are no need for a runtime framework and only little RAM requirements. The consequences are: All housekeeping code has to be provided by the designer Main loop must be fast enough for the overall required response time In case of extensions the timing must be carefully rechecked again Example: void main(void) { ... sm A(); sm B(); ... } This design is like the one presented above. But the state machine receives its events from an event queue is filled from timer events, other state machines (cooperating machines) or interrupt handlers. Benefits: Events are not lost (queuing) Decoupling of event processing from event generation. Consequences: A minimal runtime framework is required: Timers and Queues Main loop must be fast enough for the overall required response time A minimal runtime framework for C is available here: It offers timers and queues. The intended usage is as follows: Each state machine required response time A minimal runtime framework for C is available here: It offers timers and queues. The intended usage is as follows: machine can create as many timers as needed. When creating a timer the event queue of the state machine and the timeout events. To make the timer work, a tick counter variable has to be incremented cyclically from a timer interrupt (e.g., every 10 ms). The tick frequency should be selected based on the minimal required resolution of the timeout times. A tick() function must be called in the event gueue of the state machine. The main loop has to check if events are stored for a state machine in its queue. If there are new events they are pulled from the queue and the state machine is called with the event. Example code with two state machines shows the general principle: // tick irq void tick(void) { // create two queues for two state machines and init the timer subsystem fifoInit(&fifo2VendingMachine, fifo2VendingMachineRawMem, 8); fifoInit(&fifo2ProductStoreMachine, fifo2ProductStoreMachine, fifo2ProductStoreMachine); if (!fifoEmpty) { uint8_t evt; // Check if there are new events for the state machine with event. // bool fifoEmpty = fifo1sEmpty(&fifo2VendingMachine); if (!fifoEmpty) { fifoGet(&fifo2VendingMachine, &evt); yending machine, &evt); fifoEmpty = fifoIsEmpty(&fifo2ProductStoreMachine, evt); fifoEmpty = fifoSet(&fifo2ProductStoreMachine, evt); fifoSet(&fifo2ProductS handlers might push events to the queue of a state machine, evErr to a state machine queue. void ISR_Btn1() { fifoPut(&fifo2VendingMachine, evErr); } In low power mode and only wake it up if something needs to be processed. The design is very similar to the one described above. The main loop runs not all time but only in case an event has happened. The timer service for the small runtime framework is handled in the timer interrupt. A skeleton for the MSP430 looks as follows: void main(void) { // init system while(1) { // check event queues and run the state machine as shown above ... bis SR register(LPM3 bits + GIE); // Enter low power mode once no operation(); // no more events to process } } // Timer A0 interrupt service routine. If the timer // function tick() returns true there // is a timeout and we wakeup the main loop. #pragma vector=TIMERO A0 VECTOR interrupt void Timer A0(void) { bool retVal=false; P1OUT |= BIT0; // toggle for debugging retVal = tick(); if(retVal){ // toggle for debugging // no more events must be processed } The following temperature transmitter using a MSP430F1232 header board with just 256 bytes of RAM and 8K of program memory is based on this design principle. For more information on how to use state-machines in low-power embedded systems see here and here. Sometimes state dependent interrupt handling is required. Then it is useful to embed the state machine directly into the interrupt handler to save every us. Typical usage might be the pre-processing of characters received by a serial interface. Or state dependent filtering of an analog signal before further processing takes place. Using state machines in an interrupt handler can be useful in any system design. For code generation some considerations are necessary. Usually it is necessary to decorate interrupt service handlers with compiler specific keywords or vector information, etc. Furthermore interrupt service handlers the parameters StateMachineFunctionPrefixHeader, StateMachineFunctionPrefixCFile and HsmFunctionWithInstanceParameters. The example below shows an interrupt (INTERRUPT VECTOR) IntServiceRoutine(void) { /* generated statemachine code goes here */ } To generate this code, set the key/value pairs in your configuration file the following way: StateMachineFunctionPrefixCFile=interrupt (INTERRUPT VECTOR) HsmFunctionWithInstanceParameters=no If the prefix of the interrupt service routine requires to span more than one line the line break "character can be inserted as shown below: StateMachineFunctionPrefixCFile=#pragma vector=UARTOTX VECTOR interrupt void Prefixes for the header and the C file can be specified separately. In this design each state machine (often called active object) in an endless while loop. The tasks wait for new events to be processed from the RTOS wakes up the task. The used RTOS mechanism for event signaling can be different. But often a message queue is used. Events might be stored in the event queue from various sources. E.g. from within another task or from inside an interrupt service routine. This design can be realized with every real-time operating system. Only the event transport mechanisms might differ. Benefits: Efficient and well tested runtime environment provided from the real-time operating system. Prioritization of tasks, scheduling available State machine processing times decoupled from each other. Consequences: Need of a real-time operating system (complexity, ram usage, cost ...) In the how-to section an example of this pattern is presented with FreeRTOS. The examples below shows code for the RTEMS and embOS. Example code for RTEMS // rtems specific task body rtems id Queue id; uint8 t msg=NO MSG; size t received; rtems status code status; for (;;) { // returns if one event was processed oven(&inst); } // generated state machine code extern rtems id Queue id; uint8 t msg=NO MSG; size t received; rtems status code status; void oven(OVEN INSTANCEDATĂ T *instanceVar) { OVEN EV CONSUMED FLAG T evConsumed = 0U; /*execute entry code of default state once to init machine */ if (instanceVar->superEntry == 1U) { ovenOff(); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message */ status = rtems_message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); instanceVar->superEntry = 0U; } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action code */ /* wait for message_queue_receive(Queue_id, (void oven off)); } /* action c *) &msq, &received, RTEMS DEFAULT OPTIONS, RTEMS NO TIMEOUT); if (status!= RTEMS SUCCESSFUL) error handler(); } else{ switch (instanceVar->stateVar) { // generated state handling code ... } } } Example code for embOS RTOS from Segger. // state machine instance SM_INSTANCEDATA_T instanceVar = SM_INSTANCEDATA_INIT; // Task and queue objects. static OS STACKPTR int Stack TASK 1[128]; /* Task stacks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task stacks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task stacks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task stacks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task-control-blocks */ static OS TASK TCB TASK 1; /* Task stacks */ static OS TASK TCB TA Multiple timer callback functions might be created if // several timers are needed at the same time. Each one then fires an own // event. E.g. ev50ms or ev100ms static void MyTimerCallback(void) { uint8 t msg=evtTimeout; OS Q Put(&MyQueue, &msg, 1); } // Task blocked until a new event is present. The new event is // then sent to the state machine. static void TaskRunningStateMachine(void) { char* pData; while (1) { // waiting for new event volatile int Len = OS Q GetPtr(&MyQueue, (void**)&pData); volatile char msg = *pData; sm(&instanceVar, (SM EVENT T)msg); // call generated state machine with event OS Q Purge(&MyQueue); } } } } } Sinelabore supports two basic modes of operation. Either the generated state machines react on events. Only if an event is present a transition is taken (e.g. evDoorClosed, evButtonPressed). Events are eventually send to the state machine using an event queue (see above). Alternatively transitions are triggered by boolean conditions. If a boolean condition is true a state change happens (e.g. DI0==true). The latter one is useful if binary signals should be processed like shown in these two designs (signal shaping function blocks). In this case the state machine runs without receiving a dedicated event. Based on the current state, conditions derived from boolean signals are used to trigger state transitions.

• cheat engine code injection

гугл переводчик фотоперевод онлайн
wokegepazi
zedaha
ecosystem matching worksheet answers